OMB Approval Number: 2050-0095 Approved for Use Through: 4/95

DECLASSIFI	ED		192598
0/29/18 Initial:	4	*	
	<u> </u>	1	· I- 1

Site Name: Continental Can Company CERCLIS ID No.: NJD002521425
Street Address: 297 Getty Avenue City/State/Zip: Paterson, NJ 07503

Investigator: Polly Armour Agency/Organization: EBASCO/Wehran

Street Address: 666 E. Main Street City/State: Middletown, NY

Date: 09/09/92

Page:

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

Waste Characteristics (WC) Calculations:

Ground Water Pathway Criteria List Suspected Release	
auspected Resource	
Are sources poorly contained? (y/n/u)	N
Is the source a type likely to contribute to ground water contamination (e.g., wet lagoon)? $(y/n/u)$	Y
Is waste quantity particularly large? (y/n/u)	N
Is precipitation heavy? (y/n/u)	N
Is the infiltration rate high? (y/n/u)	N
Is the site located in an area of karst terrain? (y/n)	N
Is the subsurface highly permeable or conductive? $(y/n/u)$	N
Is drinking water drawn from a shallow aquifer? (y/n/u)	Y
Are suspected contaminants highly mobile in ground water? (y/n/u)	Y
Does analytical or circumstantial evidence suggest ground water contamination? (y/n/u)	Y
Other criteria? (y/n) N	
SUSPECTED RELEASE? (y/n)	Y

Summarize the rationale for Suspected Release:

There is an observed release of contaminants to groundwater. Monitoring wells adjacent and downgradient of USTs formerly used for storage of gasoline have shown concentrations of BTEX significantly higher than background; however, petroleum products are exempt from CERCLA/SARA and will not be considered "wastes" for the purposes of scoring. Other waste sources (SWMUs) have been removed, and no wastes are present in them.

Ground Water Pathway Criteria List Primary Targets	
Is any drinking water well nearby? (y/n/u)	N
Has any nearby drinking water well been closed? (y/n/u)	N
Has any nearby drinking water well user reported foul-testing or foul-smelling water? (y/n/u)	N
Does any nearby well have a large drawdown/high production rate? (y/n/u)	N
Is any drinking water well located between the site and other wells that are suspected to be exposed to a hazardous substance? $(y/n/u)$	N
Does analytical or circumstantial evidence suggest contamination at a drinking water well? (y/n/u)	N
Does any drinking water well warrant sampling? (y/n/u)	N
Other criteria? (y/n) N	-
PRIMARY TARGET(S) IDENTIFIED? (y/n)	N

Summarize the rationale for Primary Targets:

The nearest drinking water well is 3/4 mile southwest of the site. Groundwater flow is towards the east. This well is not suspected to be impacted by the site.

Page:

GROUND WATER PATH	WAY SCORESHEET	rs	
Pathway Characteristics			Ref.
Do you suspect a release? (y/n)		•	es
Is the site located in karst te	errain? (y/n)	No	0 10
Depth to aquifer (feet):		1!	5 8,9
Distance to the nearest drinking	ng water well	(feet): 3	960 12
LIKELIHOOD OF RELEASE	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
1. SUSPECTED RELEASE	550	<u> </u>	
2. NO SUSPECTED RELEASE		0	
LR =	550	j o	
Targets			
TARGETS	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
3. PRIMARY TARGET POPULATION 0 person(s)	o		
4. SECONDARY TARGET POPULATION Are any wells part of a blended system? (y/n) Y	813	0	
5. NEAREST WELL	9	0	
6. WELLHEAD PROTECTION AREA None within 4 Miles	0	0	
7. RESOURCES	5	0	
	•	•	ī

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

GROUND WATER PATHWAY SCORE:

Page: 5

Ground Water Target Populations

Primary Target Population Drinking Water Well ID	Dist. (miles)	Population Served	Reference	Value
None				
*** Note : Maximum of 5 Wel.	ls Are P	rinted ***	Total	

		 	
Secondary Target Population Distance Categories	Population Served	Reference	Value
0 to 1/4 mile	0	12,13	0
Greater than 1/4 to 1/2 mile	0	12,13	0
Greater than 1/2 to 1 mile	14	12,13	1
Greater than 1 to 2 miles	159	12,13	3
Greater than 2 to 3 miles	34002	12,13	678
Greater than 3 to 4 miles	22891	12,13	131
		Total	813

CONFIDENTIAL

PA-Score 2.0 Scoresheets Continental Can Company - 09/12/92

Apportionment Documentation for a Blended System

Boro of Hawthorne: 21 wells serve 18000 persons, therefore 18000 persons/21 wells = 857 persons/well. 4 wells within 2-3 miles, therefore 4 * 857 = 3428 persons apportioned to 2-3 miles. 9 wells within 3-4 miles, therefore 9 * 857 = 7713 persons apportioned to 3-4 miles.

Boro of Fairlawn: 15 wells serve 18600, therefor 18600 persons/15 wells = 1240 persons/well. 6 wells within 2-3 miles, therefore 6 * 1240 = 7440 persons apportioned to 2-3 miles. 8 wells within 3-4 miles, therefore 8 * 1240 = 9920 persons apportioned to 3-4 miles.

Ref: 14

Page: 7

Surface Water Pathway Criteria List Suspected Release	
Is surface water nearby? (y/n/u)	N
Is waste quantity particularly large? (y/n/u)	N
Is the drainage area large? (y/n/u)	N
Is rainfall heavy? (y/n/u)	N
Is the infiltration rate low? (y/n/u)	Y
Are sources poorly contained or prone to runoff or flooding? (y/n/u)	N
Is a runoff route well defined(e.g.ditch/channel to surf.water)? (y/n/u)	N
Is vegetation stressed along the probable runoff path? $(y/n/u)$	N
Are sediments or water unnaturally discolored? (y/n/u)	N
Is wildlife unnaturally absent? (y/n/u)	N
Has deposition of waste into surface water been observed? $(y/n/u)$	N
Is ground water discharge to surface water likely? (y/n/u)	Y
Does analytical/circumstantial evidence suggest S.W. contam? (y/n/u)	N
Other criteria? (y/n) N	
SUSPECTED RELEASE? (y/n)	N

Summarize the rationale for Suspected Release:

The surface water runoff pathway is not well defined. The site is in an urban area, and all runoff is directed to storm drains, which most likely discharge to the Passaic River, 1.2 miles from the site.

Ref: 1, 5, 6

Ref:

20

PA-Score 2.0 Scoresheets Continental Can Company - 09/12/92

age: 8

Surface Water Pathway Criteria List Primary Targets	
Is any target nearby? (y/n/u) If yes: N Drinking water intake Y Fishery Y Sensitive environment	Y
Has any intake, fishery, or recreational area been closed? $(y/n/u)$	N
Does analytical or circumstantial evidence suggest surface water contamination at or downstream of a target? (y/n/u)	N
Does any target warrant sampling? (y/n/u) If yes: N Drinking water intake N Fishery N Sensitive environment	N
Other criteria? (y/n) N	
PRIMARY INTAKE(S) IDENTIFIED? (y/n)	N
Summarize the rationale for Primary Intakes:	
There are no intakes within the 15 mile distance limit	

Page: 9

continued					
Other criteria?	(y/n)				
	,	PRIMARY FIS	HERY(IES) IDE	TIFIED? (y/n)	N
Summarize the rat	tionale for	r Primary Fish	eri es:		
Fisheries prese Passaic River. wastes present by the site.	Due to th	he distance fr	om the site, a	and the lack o	of :ted
		•			
	-				
			·	•	
	•		•		
Ref: 1, 21	_		·		
Other criteria?					
	PRIMARY SI	ENSITIVE ENVIR	ONMENT(S) IDE	NTIFIED? (y/n)	N
Summarize the rat	tionale for	r Primary Sens	itive Environ	ments:	
Sensitive envir be impacted by		ownstream of t	he site are no	ot suspected t	:0
		-			
•					
		, 25			
Ref: 1, 21, 2	22, 23, 24	, 25			

Page: 10

SURFACE WATER PATHWAY SCORESHEETS

Pathway Characteristics				Ref.
Do you suspect a release? (y/n))	No	0	[
Distance to surface water (feet):			336	1, 17
Flood frequency (years):		>!	500	18
What is the downstream distance a. the nearest drink b. the nearest fisher c. the nearest sensit	ing water intal ry?		N.A. 1.2 1.2	20 21 21
LIKELIHOOD OF RELEASE	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	Refe	rences
1. SUSPECTED RELEASE	0			
2. NO SUSPECTED RELEASE		100		
LR =	0	100		

Page: 11

Drinking Water Threat Targets

TARGETS	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
 Determine the water body type, flow (if applicable), and number of people served by each drinking water intake. 			
4. PRIMARY TARGET POPULATION 0 person(s)	0		
5. SECONDARY TARGET POPULATION Are any intakes part of a blended system? (y/n): N	0	0	
6. NEAREST INTAKE	0	0	
7. RESOURCES	0	5	
T =	0	5	

Drinking Water Threat Target Populations

Intake Name	Primary (y/n)	Water Body	Type/Flow	Population Served	Ref.	Val
None						
		 				
		 		 		
		 		 	+÷ 	}
		+		 		
		+======================================			+	F
*** Note : Ma	Tot	tal Primary '	Target Po	pulation Val		

CONFIDENTIAL

PA-Score 2.0 Scoresheets Continental Can Company - 09/12/92

Page: 12

Apportionment Documentation for a Blended System

Not applicable. There are no drinking water intakes within 15 miles.

Ref: 20

Page: 13

Human Food Chain Threat Targets

TARGETS	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
8. Determine the water body type and flow for each fishery within the target limit.			
9. PRIMARY FISHERIES	0		
10. SECONDARY FISHERIES	0	12	
T =	0	12	,

Human Food Chain Threat Targets

Fishery Name	Primary (y/n)	Water Body Type/Flow	Ref.	Value
1 Passaic River (Upper)	N	>1000-10000 cfs	21	12
2 Passaic River (Lower)	N	>1000-10000 cfs	21	12
	-+	<u> </u>		
	-+	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	-+	 		
	Tota.	l Primary Fisheries Val l Secondary Fisheries V	ue alue	0

*** Note: Maximum of 6 Fisheries Are Printed ***

Page: 14

Environmental Threat Targets

TARGETS	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
11. Determine the water body type and flow (if applicable) for each sensitive environment.			· ·
12. PRIMARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	0		
13. SECONDARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONS.	0	10	
T =	0	10	

Environmental Threat Targets

Sensitive Environment Name	Primary (y/n)	Water Body Type/Flow	Ref.	Value
1 Passaic Rver (Upper)	N	>1000-10000 cfs	21	0
2 Passaic River (Lower)	N	>1000-10000 cfs	21	0
3 Wetlands	N	>1000-10000 cfs	21	0
	+			
Total Primary Sensitive Total Secondary Sensitiv	Environme e Environ	ents Value nments Value		0

*** Note: Maximum of 6 Sensitive Environments Are Printed ***

Page: 15

Surface Water Pathway Threat Scores

Threat	Likelihood of Release(LR) Score	Targets(T) Score	Pathway Waste Characteristics (WC) Score	Threat Score LR x T x WC / 82,500
Drinking Water	100	5	0	0
Human Food Chain	100	12	0	0
Environmental	100	10	0	0

SURFACE WATER PATHWAY SCORE: 0

Page: 16

PA-Score 2.0 Scoresheets Continental Can Company - 09/12/92

Soil Exposure Pathway Criteria List Resident Population	
Is any residence, school, or daycare facility on or within 200 feet of an area of suspected contamination? (y/n/u)	N
Is any residence, school, or daycare facility located on adjacent land previously owned or leased by the site owner/operator? (y/n/u)	N
Is there a migration route that might spread hazardous substances near residences, schools, or daycare facilities? (y/n/u)	N
Have onsite or adjacent residents or students reported adverse	
health effects, exclusive of apparent drinking water or air contamination problems? (y/n/u)	N
Does any neighboring property warrant sampling? (y/n/u)	N
Other criteria? (y/n) N	
RESIDENT POPULATION IDENTIFIED? (y/n)	N
Summarize the rationale for Resident Population:	

No residents are present within 200 feet of soil contamination.

CUMPLE

PA-Score 2.0 Scoresheets Continental Can Company - 09/12/92

Page: 17

SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY SCORESHEETS

Pathway Characteristics				kei.
Do any people live on or within of areas of suspected contamin	200 ft nation? (y/n)		No	1
Do any people attend school or of areas of suspected contamin	daycare on or whation? (y/n)	ithin 200 ft	No	1
Is the facility active? (y/n) :			Yes	1
LIKELIHOOD OF EXPOSURE	Suspected Contamination	References		•
1. SUSPECTED CONTAMINATION LE =	550			
Targets				
2. RESIDENT POPULATION 0 resident(s) 0 school/daycare student(s)	0	1 1		
3. RESIDENT INDIVIDUAL	0			
4. WORKERS 101 - 1000	10	1		
5. TERRES. SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	0			
6. RESOURCES	5			
T =	15	:		
WASTE CHARACTERISTICS				
WC =	0			
RESIDENT POPULATION THREAT SCORE:	0			
NEARBY POPULATION THREAT SCORE:	2			
Population Within 1 Mile: 10,001	- 50,000	·		
SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY SCORE:	2			
JOIN MATODONN PAINMAI DOONN.		· -		

CUMEIDENTAI

PA-Score 2.0 Scoresheets Continental Can Company - 09/12/92

Page: 18

Soil Exposure Pathway Terrestrial Sensitive Environments

Terrestrial Sensitive Environment Name	Reference	Value
None		
	<u> </u>	Ì
	Ī	
		,
	ľ	
	1	
Total Terrestrial Sensitive Environm	ents Value	+

Air Pathway Criteria List Suspected Release	
Are odors currently reported? (y/n/u)	N
Has release of a hazardous substance to the air been directly observed? (y/n/u)	N
Are there reports of adverse health effects (e.g., headaches, nausea, dizziness) potentially resulting from migration of hazardous substances through the air? (y/n/u)	N
Does analytical/circumstantial evidence suggest release to air? (y/n/u)	N
Other criteria? (y/n) N	
SUSPECTED RELEASE? (y/n)	N

Summarize the rationale for Suspected Release:

No OVM or O2/LEL readings above background were obtained during the July 1992 site reconnaissance, nor is any historical contamination present which might emit contaminants to air.

Ref: 1, 26

Page: 20

AIR PATHWAY SCORESHEETS

Pathway Characteristics		:	Ref.
Do you suspect a release? (y	r/n)	N	0
Distance to the nearest indi	vidual (feet):	1	00 1
LIKELIHOOD OF RELEASE	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
1. SUSPECTED RELEASE	0		
2. NO SUSPECTED RELEASE		500	
LR	= 0	500	
Targets			
TARGETS	Suspected Release	No Suspected Release	References
3. PRIMARY TARGET POPULATION 0 person(s)	0		
4. SECONDARY TARGET POPULATION	0	256	
5. NEAREST INDIVIDUAL	0	20	
6. PRIMARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONS.	0		
7. SECONDARY SENSITIVE ENVIRON	rs. 0	0	
8. RESOURCES	0	5	
T	= 0	281	
VASTE CHARACTERISTICS			-
WC WC	= 0	0	
			_
AIR PATHWAY SCORE:	1	0	<u> </u>

Page: 21

Air Pathway Secondary Target Populations

Distance Categories	Population	References	Value
Onsite	180	1	16
Greater than 0 to 1/4 mile	1379	27	41
Greater than 1/4 to 1/2 mile	9237	27	28
Greater than 1/2 to 1 mile	30100	27	83
Greater than 1 to 2 miles	98857	27	27
Greater than 2 to 3 miles	143703	27	38
Greater than 3 to 4 miles	123994	27	23
	Total Secondary Popula	tion Value	256

Page: 22

Pathway Primary Sensitive Environments		1	. <u></u> I
Sensitive Environment Name		Reference	Valu
None			
,			
		 	
		· + ·	+
		+	+ I
] ∳.= I
Total Primary Sensitive Environment Pathway Secondary Sensitive Environment	ronments Are Pi	ents Value cinted***	
*** Note : Maximum of 7 Sensitive Envi	ronments Are Pi	cinted*** 	Valu
*** Note : Maximum of 7 Sensitive Environments Pathway Secondary Sensitive Environments	ronments Are Pi nts 	cinted*** 	Valu
*** Note: Maximum of 7 Sensitive Environment Pathway Secondary Sensitive Environment Sensitive Environment Name	ronments Are Pi nts 	cinted*** 	Valu
*** Note: Maximum of 7 Sensitive Environment Pathway Secondary Sensitive Environment Sensitive Environment Name	ronments Are Pi nts 	cinted*** 	Valu
*** Note: Maximum of 7 Sensitive Environment Pathway Secondary Sensitive Environment Sensitive Environment Name	ronments Are Pi nts 	cinted*** 	Valu
*** Note: Maximum of 7 Sensitive Environment Pathway Secondary Sensitive Environment Sensitive Environment Name	ronments Are Pi nts 	cinted*** 	Valu
*** Note : Maximum of 7 Sensitive Environment Pathway Secondary Sensitive Environment Sensitive Environment Name	ronments Are Pi nts 	cinted*** 	Valu

Total Secondary Sensitive Environments Value

Page: 23

SITE SCORE CALCULATION	SCORE
GROUND WATER PATHWAY SCORE:	0
SURFACE WATER PATHWAY SCORE:	0
SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY SCORE:	2
AIR PATHWAY SCORE:	0
SITE SCORE:	1

Page: 24

SUMMARY

1.	Is there a high possibility of a threat to any nearby drinking water well(s) by migration of a hazardous substance in ground water?	er No
	If yes, identify the well(s).	
	If yes, how many people are served by the threatened well(s)? 0	
2.	Is there a high possibility of a threat to any of the following by hazardous substance migration in surface water? A. Drinking water intake B. Fishery C. Sensitive environment (wetland, critical habitat, others)	No No No
	If yes, identity the target(s).	
3.	Is there a high possibility of an area of surficial contamination within 200 feet of any residence, school, or daycare facility?	No
	If yes, identify the properties and estimate the associated populat	ion(s
4.	Are there public health concerns at this site that are not addressed by PA scoring considerations?	No
	If yes, explain:	

CONFIDENTIAL

PA-Score 2.0 Scoresheets Continental Can Company - 09/12/92

Page: 25

REFERENCE LIST